











Review notes

#### Abstract

The title in lines 2-6 is too long hence making it difficult to follow. Please rephrase and make it concise.

Author respond: We appreciate the reviewer comments. Please kindly see line 2-6. We rephrase:

Impact of an Integrative Nutrition Package Through Home Visit on Maternal Knowledge and Behaviour to Promote Growth and Development of Stunting Children\_Outcome: Finding from Locus Stunting inthe Lowest stunting prevalence areas study in\_Yogyakarta\_-Indonesia

There is a combination of present and past tenses in the abstract, please use one tense, lines 19-22 are present while the following sentences are past tense.

Author respond: We appreciate the reviewer comments. Please see kindly lines 19-22. We improve on past tense mode.

The end of sentence 22 should read May, not may. And the sentence needs to be rephrased to make it clearer

Author respond: We appreciate the reviewer comments. We retype the correct: May, and rephrase: The iIntervention group was provided an integrative nutrition package (INP) including maternal education, behavioural change through home visit Intervention group was give integrative nutrition package through home visit (INP) from trained health volunteers including maternal knowledge and behavioural change as well as monitoring children outcome

The grammar in the abstract needs to be rephrased to make it clearer. It reads like it was interpreted and missing some conjunctions hence harder to follow. This is more apparent in line 26-39.

Author respond: We appreciate the reviewer comments. Please see kindly lines 26-32. We rephrase it

## Introduction

Rephrase paragraph 1, while all the literature is available, it is arranged poorly hence hard to follow.

Author respond: We appreciate the reviewer comments. We rephrased please kindly see line 45-58.

In Indonesia, stunting remains a major nutritional problem, due to wider impact in a whole life span. According to National Medium-Term Development Plan, we set a target of achieving a stunting prevalence of 14% by 2024

DIY is mentioned in lines 51 and 52 but has not been defined prior

Author respond: We appreciate the reviewer comments. We write Yogyakarta, please see kindly line 50.

Rephrase sentence in lines 56-58, poor grammar

Author respond: We appreciate the reviewer comments. We improved it

Sentence in lines 60-63 has 3 different wordings for poor education attainment linked to stunting, either choose one or rephrase to explain why all 3 are needed:

Line 60 poor parental education

Line 62-63 low knowledge

Line 63 inadequate health and education facilities

Author respond: We appreciate the reviewer comments. We delete it due to adjust and rephrase before sentences.

In sentence from lines 66-69, seeking health services is mentioned in line 67 and repeated in line 68

Author respond: We appreciate the reviewer comments. We deleted it

Line 81 mentions PMT but it is not defined prior

Author respond: We appreciate the reviewer comments. We revise PMT with complementary feeding, see kindly line 81.

## Materials and methods

Use one tense in lines 92-94, its has past and present tenses

Author respond: We appreciate the reviewer comments. We use past tense.

Consider rephrasing line 97 and rearranging the whole paragraph to give details as per heading

Author respond: We appreciate the reviewer comments. We rephrase it

Exclusion criteria not clear in the flow chart and eating is misspelled

Author respond: We appreciate the reviewer comments. We added in a flow chart and make it clear misspelled. Please see kindly line 88-19 and 108-109.

Rephrase sentence in line 105-106

Author respond: We appreciate the reviewer comments. We make a rephrased.

Rephrase sentence in lines 117 and 118

Author respond: We appreciate the reviewer comments. We make a rephrased.

Check tenses/grammar in paragraph with lines 125 to 137

Author respond: We appreciate the reviewer comments. We did

What does cadres of intermediate rank mean in lines 136-137? consider rephrasing to more common terms

Author respond: We appreciate the reviewer comments. We replace "cadre"

Check tense and drama in paragraph in lines 139 to 148

Author respond: We appreciate the reviewer comments. We did.

Define the full names of the ethic boards in line 150.

Author respond: We appreciate the reviewer comments. We rewrite, see kindly line 105

#### Results

Figures 2-10 aren't very clear, I hope better versions will be published, with better labelling. Information on the statistical differences between the control and the intervention groups need to be mentioned. Figure 10 indicates that the control group had a higher CDS score, this is not noted.

Author respond: We appreciate the reviewer comments. We improve the Fig 2-10. We clarify that SDS score-lower is better. 0 is normal, 1 is questionable, and 2 is abnormal, please see kindly lines 145.

Consider adding statistics to section 3.3 in lines 178 to 185

It would have been great to see the comparison between control and intervention groups on the pretest, and post tests 1 and 2. The current comparison is focused on within group (Table 2). The results indicate that there were improvements in both groups for some outcomes, was this the same in the groups? Table 3 compare them after adjustment but does not provide p values, it only mentions that intervention was better than control. You could also compare follow up 1 and 2 to assess if longer intervention influences outcomes.

Author respond: We appreciate the reviewer comments. We add the analysis (table 2) see kindly 174-175.

## Discussion

The discussion section is good with all the relevant information, I would recommend improving grammar and repositioning the sentences to encourage flow of information.

Author respond: We appreciate the reviewer comments. We did.

### Conclusion

Consider rephrasing to make a concise presentation of your findings and what you think the implications are for children health or stunting mitigation.

Author respond: We appreciate the reviewer comments. We add information : please see kindly line 298-323.

However, additional studies are required to analyze the performance of intervention nutrition packages and different combinations of interventions to determine which are the most successful and cost-effective. Such future study would aid in the creation and development of the most effective and efficient methods for achieving Indonesia's current nutrition goals for children.

## **General comment**

This is great work, it needs work on grammar and sentence presentation to flow.

Author respond: Thank you.

Submission Date 20 July 2022 Date of this review 03 Aug 2022 11:05:01

Article

## Impact of an Integrative Nutrition Package through Home Visit on Maternal and Children Outcome: Finding from Locus Stunting in Yogyakarta, Indonesia

## Tri Siswati <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>,\*, Slamet Iskandar <sup>1,2</sup>, Nova Pramestuti <sup>3</sup>, Jarohman Raharjo <sup>3</sup>, Agus Kharmayana Rubaya <sup>2,4</sup>, and

#### Bayu Satria Wiratama 5,6

Citation: Siswati, T.; Iskandar, S.;					
Pramestuti, N.; Raharjo, J.; Rubaya,					
A.K.; Wiratama, B.S. Impact of an					
Integrative Nutrition Package					
Through Home Visit on Maternal					
and Children Outcome: Finding					
from <mark>Locus Stunting in</mark> Yogyakarta,					
Indonesia. Nutrients 2022, 14, x.					
https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx					

. . . . .

#### Academic Editor(s):

Received: date Accepted: date Published: date

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

Department of Nutrition, Politeknik Kesehatan Kemenkes Yogyakarta, Tata Bumi No 3, Banyuraden, Gamping, Sleman, Yogyakarta 55293, Indonesia; <u>tri.siswati@poltekkesjogja.ac.id</u>, slamet.iskandar@poltekkesjogja.ac.id Pusat Unggulan Iptek Inovasi Teknologi Terapan Kesehatan Masyarakat, Politeknik Kesehatan Kemenkes Yogyakarta, Tata Bumi No. 3 Banyuraden, Gamping, Sleman, Yogyakarta 55293, Indonesia; agus.kharmayana@poltekkesjogja.ac.id Balai Litbang Kesehatan Banjarnegara, Selamanik No 16 A, Banjarnegara, Central Java, 53415, Indonesia; nova.pramestuti87@gmail.com (N.P.); jarohman\_raharjo@yahoo.com (J.R.) Department of Environmental Health, Politeknik Kesehatan Kemenkes Yogyakarta, Tata Bumi No 3, Banyuraden, Gamping, Sleman, Yogyakarta 55293, Indonesia Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Population Health, Faculty of Medicine, Public Health and Nursing, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia; bayu.satria@ugm.ac.id Graduate Institute of Injury Prevention and Control, College of Public Health, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan

Correspondence: tri.siswati@poltekkesjogja.ac.id

Abstract: Background: Stunting has been a public health problem in several developing countries including Indonesia. One of the strategies to reduce stunting was family assistance. This study was aimed to estimate the effect of family assistance by using an integrative nutrition package through home visits on the growth and development of stunted children. Method: This was an experimental study using pre-test post-test with control group design,

**Comment [M1]:** The title name is different from the submitting system. Please confirm which one is correct.

**Comment [TS2R1]:** Reviewer sugges more simple title, this is correct

**Comment [M3]:** Please carefully check the accuracy of names and affiliations.

Comment [TS4R3]: correct

**Comment [M5]:** Please check all author names carefully.

## Comment [TS6R5]: corect

**Comment [M7]:** We added the email addresses here according to the submitting system. Please confirm.

**Comment [TS8R7]:** We add <u>tri.siswati@poltekkesjogja.ac.id</u> thank you

**Comment [M9]:** Please add city instead province and the postal code (or ZIP code in the U.S.). If the postal code is not available, please provide the P.O. Box.

#### Comment [TS10R9]: 53415

**Comment [M11]:** Please check and unite format "Yogyakarta City" or "Yogyakarta"

**Comment [TS12R11]:** Yogyaka rta

**Comment [M13]:** Please confirm if the bold should be retained.

Comment [TS14R13]: No

conducted in Yogyakarta, Indonesia, on March to May 2022. The intervention group was provided an integrative nutrition package (INP) including maternal education, behavioral change through home visit, as well as monitoring children's outcome, while the control group was asked to read and follow child care procedure in the maternal and child health (MCH) book as a standard procedure. Both groups were visited by trained health volunteers and had a complementary feeding (CF) package weekly for four weeks. The outcomes of this study were the maternal outcome (knowledge and behavior on children's growth monitoring (CGM), children's development monitoring (CDM), and infant/young children feeding (IYCF) as well as children's outcomes, including body weight (BW), body height (BH), and child score development (CSD). This study used generalized estimating equation (GEE) to estimate the differences in differences (DID) of the impact of intervention compared with control group and compared among three different times (baseline, fourth, and eighth week). Results: There were 60 stunted children under five years in this study, i.e., 30 in intervention group and 30 in control group. From the GEE analysis, it was found that the regression adjusted DID showed statistically significant increase of all outcomes including children's development score (CDS). The adjusted DID effect (95% CI) on 8th week for children's weight, height, and development score were 0.31 (0.25–0.37), 0.41 (0.13–0.68), and -0.40 (-0.59–(-0.21)), respectively, among the intervention group. **Conclusions:** INP through home visit successfully increased maternal and children's outcomes compared witsh standard procedure. The effect of intervention was found to be consistently significant in the fourth and eighth weeks after intervention. We recommend the local government to apply INP through home visit especially in high-prevalence stunting areas.

Keywords: integrative nutrition package; intervention; growth; development; children; stunting

### 1. Introduction

In Indonesia, stunting remains a major nutritional problem due to its wider impact in a whole life span. According to the National Medium-Term Development Plan, we set a target of achieving a stunting prevalence of 14% by 2024 [1]. Based on the nutritional status survey of Indonesia in 2021, it was reported that the prevalence of stunted children in Yogyakarta province was 17.3% [2]. Although the stunting problem in Yogyakarta is a minor health problem [3], the issue of stunting disparity is an important issue [4]. The highest stunting prevalence in Yogyakarta is 26%, placed in the Dlingo sub-district of Bantul Regency [5].

Bantul is an area with characteristics of low economic growth below the provincial average [6], an average length of schooling of 9.55 years or equivalent to graduating from junior high school, [7] and a high incidence of early marriage [8]. The majority of people in the district works in informal sector as farmers, farm laborer, and other informal occupations. Meanwhile, most of the mothers become housewives [6]. In general, socio-economic factors are strongly related with stunting children. It can be explained that the low economic level of the family is related to the limited selection of food, school facilities, and infrastructure as well as fewer opportunities to have good health care, **Comment [M15]:** We revised the hyphen (-) into a minus sign ("-" U+2212). Please confirm.

**Comment [TS16R15]:** Okay, thank u

**Comment [M17]:** Please check if should be Conclusions

**Comment [TS18R17]:** Conclusi ons Thank you selection of an environment that supports the growth and development of children, and the presence of health inequity. Stunted children who grow up within families of a poor socioeconomic level will give birth to intergenerational stunting. It is likely that mothers who are stunted and grow up in an unfavorable economic environment will have less knowledge, awareness, and attention to the growth and development of their children. This condition affects parenting, the search for health services, and the stimulation of their toddler's growth and development. Subsequently, it impacts the child's neurodevelopmental growth, health, and well-being.

In order for toddlers to reach their full growth and development potential, intervention measures are necessary. According to a number of studies, mentorship is the most effective strategy for preventing stunting in toddlers. Moreover, integrated intervention support in the form of education, communication of dietary behavior modification, supplementary feeding, and stimulation of toddlers' growth and development is preferred above a single intervention [9]. In Presidential Regulation No. 72 of 2021 of the Republic of Indonesia, stunting reduction acceleration was issued, and it is stated that the national action plan to encourage stunting decrease is to give a support families at risk of stunting; the goal is to enhance access to information and services [10].

In this study, we conducted an intervention nutrition package (INP) consisting of maternal education and behavior change communication according to growth and development monitoring for children as well as infant/young children feeding (IYCF) and complementary feeding through home visit. It is different from the routine program using classical monitoring in Posyandu as a maternal and children's health service in Indonesia. Thus, we wanted to investigate how an INP delivered through home visits affects maternal knowledge and behavior on children's growth monitoring (CGM), children's development monitoring (CDM), infant/young children feeding (IYCF), and stunted children's body weight (BW), body height (BH), and children's development score (CDS).

## 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Study Design

This was an experimental study with a pre-test post-test with a control group design in the highest stunting prevalence area in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. We selected two villages that represented the population with the highest prevalence (Desa Muntuk and Jatimulyo) in March–May 2022.

## 2.2. Study Population and Sampling

The population comprised stunted toddlers in the two villages. By following Lemeshow's formula for the intervention of two groups, using a significance level at 5% and assumed 80% power, a design effect of 1.5, and loss to follow-up of 10%, the number of samples per group was calculated as 30. The sampling flow chart can be seen in Figure 1.



## Figure 1. CONSORT diagram of this study.

### 2.3. Eligibility Criteria

The sample criteria were determined by selecting toddlers who were still living with their mothers, aged 6–59 months, based on complicated factors such as household income, parental education level, history of low birth weight, and close birth spacing as well as the degree of severe stunting as measured by the z-score. The researchers, dietitians, and midwives performed this assessment using a checklist. Contrarily, the exclusion criteria were: a non-poor family, a twin, and concurrent obesity and stunting.

2.4. Intervention Package

# Comment [MH19]: Please correct "Asses" to "Asses"

Please change "Childs" to "Children's"

Comment [M20]: The

contents/xxx of this figure are not legible. In order to convert a clear PDF document, whilst retaining its high quality, we kindly request the provision of figures and schemes at a sufficiently high resolution (min. 1000 pixels width/height, or a resolution of 300 dpi or higher).

**Comment [M21]:** Please add space between =

Comment [TS22R21]:

Comment [TS23R21]:

**Comment [M24]:** Please check if need add explanation of blue color

**Comment [TS25R24]:** No meaning. I will remove blue color

INP as the intervention was a set of interventions for maternal education and behavior modification. The package consists of CGM, CDM, and IYCF literacy and counseling based on children's health problem, while subjects in the control group were only reminded to read MCH books. Both the treatment and control groups were visited once a week for four weeks and were given a CF package consisting of carbohydrate-source foods, animal diet, protein, cereals, vegetables, and fruits. In the intervention group, the home visits were carried out by health cadres who were trained in advance in the procedure. They taught and communicated the behavior change to mothers about monitoring the growth and development of toddlers, stimulating growth and development, reading growth charts, and providing appropriate food; provided counseling to mothers according to toddler health problems; and gave CF. Meanwhile, in the control group, the health visit was only to remind mothers to read MCH books and to supply CF. The cadres who made home visits were from the local Posyandu (English: integrated health service post), and lived in the same sub-village as the accompanying toddlers. The ratio of cadres to toddlers was 1:2. This intervention is different from the existing program in that the education is carried out classically at the local Posyandu monthly.

#### 2.5. Study Outcomes

This study's results were separated into two categories: direct outcomes, which included mothers' knowledge and abilities about CGM, CDM, and IYCF, and follow-up outcomes, which included BW, BH, and CDS. All outcomes were evaluated at baseline, follow-up 1 (4 weeks later), and follow-up 2 (8th week).

### 2.6. Data Collecting and Instruments

At the baseline, we provided a questionnaire to collect social, economic, and demographic information, such as the age, education, and occupation of the parents. We assessed mother understanding and behavior regarding CGM, CDM, and IYCF. A structured questionnaire of ten questions was used to examine the mother's knowledge, while a checklist of ten items was used to evaluate the mother's CGM, CDM, and IYCF practices. The true score was one, and the false score was zero, for a total of 30. The impact of the intervention on child outcomes was measured in terms of BW with a baby scale, BH with a stature meter (infantometer for 2 years old and portable microtoise stadiometer for two years), and CSD with an early detection of growth and development form consisting of components of gross and fine motoric development, language, social skills, and children's independence. The developmental achievement of toddlers is given a value of 0 if it is normal, 1 if it is questionable, and 2 if it is abnormal. In this study, cadres were responsible for data collection.

## 2.7. Data Analysis

Initially, we performed a univariate analysis to summarize the characteristics of participants in both treatment groups. Afterwards, we provided an illustrated repeated survey of maternal and children's outcomes at baseline and follow-ups 1 and 2. Then, we examined the intervention's influence on the outcomes, compared them over time and

across groups, and made modifications. The impact of the repeated intervention was measured by utilizing the GEE model in StataCorp. 2017. Stata Statistical Software: Release 15. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC. STATA 15 26,27 The reference category in this study was the control group. We estimated the difference in differences (DID), which was defined as the interaction between intervention and control difference with differences among baseline and first and second followups. This study reported adjusted beta coefficients, p-values, and 95% confidence intervals (CI).

#### 2.8. Ethics and Informed Consent.

The Institutional Review Board of Politeknik Kesehatan Kemenkes, Yogyakarta, granted ethical approval for this study, No. e-KEPK/POLKESYO/0223/II/2022, dated 23 February 2022. The informed consent was signed by the toddlers' mothers.

#### 3. Results

### 3.1. Characteristics of Participants

Maternal age, father's and mother's education, and father's and mother's work were similar in the intervention and control groups although the control group included more low-income households. The characteristics of children under five, including age and gender, were comparable across the two intervention groups. Baseline measurements of maternal knowledge and practice on CGM, CDM, and IYCF and BW, BH, and CDS did not reveal any significant differences between the two groups. Specific details are provided in Table 1.

Table 1. Maternal and children characteristics by treatment group.

Variables	Intervention (n = 30)	Control (n = 30)	p-	<b>Comment [TS32R31]:</b> Thank you
Mother's age			$\frown$	Comment [MH33]: Are italics
<20 years	1 (3.3%)	2 (6.7%)		needed throughout?
20–30 years	17 (56.7%)	11 (36.6%)		Commont ITS24P221 No. 110
>31 years	12 (40.0%)	17 (56.7%)		comment [1534K33]: No, yo
Mother's educational level				can remove italics
Elementary school (finished)	2 (6.7%)	2 (6.7%)		
Junior high school(finished)	10 (33.3%)	13 (43.3%)		0.78
Senior high school (finished)	17 (56.7%)	13 (43.3%)		
Diploma/university (finished)	1 (3.3%)	2 (6.7%)		
Father's educational level				
Elementary school (finished)	5 (16.7%)	4 (13.3%)		
Junior high school (finished)	9 (30.0%)	11 (36.7%)		0.41
Senior high school (finished)	16 (53.3%)	13 (43.3%)		
Diploma/university (finished)	0 (0%)	2 (6.7%)		
Mother's occupation				0.24
Farmer and farm worker	2 (3.3%)	1 (3.3%)	-	
Private employee, entrepreneur	2 (6.7%)	1 (3.3%)		
Housewife	24 (80.3%)	28 (93.4%)		
Others	2 (6.7%)	0 (0%)		
Father's occupation				0.74
Farmer and farm worker	17 (56.3%)	17 (56.3%)		0.74
			-	

Comment [M26]: Please state the software version number, creator, and the location (city, country) from where the software was sourced.

Comment [TS27R26]: StataCor p. 2017. Stata Statistical Software: Release 15. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC.

Comment [M28]: 1. incorrect ref order, 26 detected after 10. You jumped the numbers in between.

2. Please check all ref citation and make sure they all numerical order and mentioned

Comment [M29]: We revised to heading 2, please confirm

Comment [TS30R29]: Ans or and ? I think ok

Comment [M31]: We set as format, please confirm

cs

*v*ou

Private employee, entrepreneur	5 (16.7%)	5 (16.7%)	
Civil servant	5(16.7%)	2 (6.7%)	
Others	3(10.3%)	6 (20.0%)	
Economic status			
Poor family	11 (36.7%)	16 (53.3%)	0.19
Non-poor family	19 (63.3%)	14 (46.7%)	
Child's age (moths)			1.00
≤24 months	18 (60.0%)	18 (60.0%)	
>24 months	12 (40.0%)	12 (40.0%)	
Child's gender			
Male	12 (40.0%)	10 (33.3%)	0.592
Female	18 (60.0%)	20 (66.7%)	
Maternal knowledge			
CGM	$6.03 \pm 1.25$	$5.80 \pm 1.40$	0.49
CDM	$6.73 \pm 0.83$	$6.60\pm0.72$	0.51
IYCF	$6.93\pm0.64$	$6.70 \pm 1.09$	0.32
Mean score	$6.57\pm0.60$	$6.37\pm0.59$	0.20
Maternal practice			
CGM	$6.47 \pm 1.04$	$6.37\pm0.67$	0.66
CDM	$6.77 \pm 0.94$	$6.67\pm0.76$	0.65
IYCF	$7.10 \pm 1.54$	$6.87\pm0.97$	0.49
Mean score	$6.78\pm0.64$	$6.63\pm0.55$	0.35
Children Outcome			
BW (kg)	$9.47 \pm 2.09$	$9.47 \pm 1.68$	0.99
BH (cm)	$77.86 \pm 9.93$	$78.09 \pm 8.37$	0.92
CDS	$1.20\pm0.48$	$1.30\pm0.59$	0.48
		1	

<sup>1</sup> Chi-square or fisher exact test for categorical data; independent *t*-test for numeric data. p < 0.05 indicates statistical significance.

## 3.2. The Effect of Intervention on Maternal and Children's Outcomes among Different Times

The intervention had a positive effect on all maternal outcomes in general, with the treatment group demonstrating a greater gain in knowledge scores of CGM, DGM, and IYCF than the control group (Figures 2–4). The outcomes of this study were also observed in maternal practice, with larger increases in CGM, DGM, and IYCF scores in the treatment group than in the control group (Figures 5–7). This finding is comparable to the impact of the intervention on children under five, including BW, BH, and CSD; however, the improvement of the effect in the treatment group was greater than that in the control group (Figures 8–10).

**Comment [MH35]:** Please change "intervensi" to "intervention"



**Comment [TS36R35]:** thank you, we make improvement

**Figure 2**. Maternal knowledge regarding CGM at follow-ups 1 and 2.



Comment [M37]: We set as figure format, please confirm Comment [TS38R37]: Okay thank you





Figure 4. Maternal knowledge regarding IYCF at follow-ups 1 and 2.



Figure 5. Maternal practice regarding CGM at follow-ups 1 and 2.



Figure 6. Maternal practice regarding CDM at follow-ups 1 and 2.



Figure 7. Maternal practice regarding IYCF at follow-ups 1 and 2.



Figure 8. Children's body weight at follow-ups 1 and 2.







Figure 10. Children's development scores at follow-ups 1 and 2. Here is better.

3.3. Association between Intervention and Research Outcome among Different Times

The analysis in Table 2 reveals the association between intervention and research outcomes among different observational times. The results of the study show that there were no significant differences between treatments on baseline measurements, while in the first follow-up measurements, there were significant differences in maternal outcomes about the aspect of toddler growth knowledge. On the other hand, in the second follow-up, there were significant differences in maternal outcomes in the aspect of maternal knowledge about children growth and development as well as of children's outcomes in the aspect of children's development.

**Table 2.** Association between intervention and research outcome among different times.

**Comment [M39]:** Please check there is no \* in figure

Comment [TS40R39]: We deleted

Comment [TS41R39]:

Variables	Intervention	Control	Mear (	n Difference 95% Cl)	
Baseline					
Maternal knowledge regarding					
CGM	6.03 ± 1.25	$5.80 \pm 1.40$	0.23 (	0.4 Commer	nt [M42]: We revised
CDM	6.73 ± 0.83	$6.60 \pm 0.72$	0.13 (	-0.2, the hyph ("-" U+2	en (-) into a minus sigr 212). Please confirm.
IYCF	$6.93 \pm 0.64$	6.70 ± 1.09	0.23 (	-0.2 Commer	<b>1t [TS43R42]:</b> Thank
Maternal practice regarding				you	
CGM	6.47 ± 1.04	6.37 ± 0.67	0.10 (	( <mark>-</mark> 0.35–0.55)	
CDM	6.77 ± 0.94	6.67 ± 0.76	0.1 0(	<mark>-</mark> 0.34–0.54)	
IYCF	$7.10 \pm 1.54$	6.87 ± 0.97	0.23 (	<mark>–</mark> 0.43–0.89)	
Children's outcome					
BW	9.47 ± 2.09	9.47 ± 1.68	<mark>-</mark> 0.03	( <mark>–</mark> 0.98–0.98)	
ВН	77.86 ± 9.93	78.09 ± 8.37	<mark>-</mark> 0.23	( <mark>-</mark> 4.98–4.51)	
CDS	$1.20 \pm 0.48$	1.30 ± 0.59	<mark>-</mark> 0.1 (	<mark>-</mark> 0.38-0.18)	
Follow-up 1					
Maternal knowledge regarding					
CGM	7.90 ± 0.55	6.13 ± 1.48	1.77 (	1.19–2.34) *	
CDM	8.23 ± 0.43	7.17 ± 0.75	1.07	(0.75–1.38)	
IYCF	8.83 ± 0.70	7.73 ± 0.87	1.10	(0.69–1.51)	
Maternal practice regarding					
CGM	8.03 ± 0.61	7.37 ± 0.72	0.67	(0.32–1.01)	
CDM	8.03 ± 0.56	7.13 ± 0.86	0.90	(0.53–1.27)	
IYCF	8.57 ± 0.73	7.50 ± 1.28	1.07	(0.53–1.60)	
Children's outcome					
BW	9.97 ± 2.12	9.62 ± 1.65	0.35 (	<mark>-</mark> 0.63–1.33)	
ВН	78.23 ± 9.95	78.26 ± 8.36	<mark>-</mark> 0.03	( <mark>-</mark> 4.78–4.72)	
CDS	0.63 ± 0.49	1.07 ± 0.52	<mark>-</mark> 0.43 ( <mark>-</mark>	<mark>-</mark> 0.69–( <mark>-</mark> 0.17)) *	
Follow-up 2					
Maternal knowledge regarding					
CGM	$8.10\pm0.31$	6.53 ± 0.97	1.57 (	1.19–1.94) *	
CDM	9.07 ± 0.58	$7.20 \pm 0.61$	1.87 (	1.55–2.18) *	

IYCF	9.03 ± 0.61	7.83 ± 0.53	1.20 (0.90–1.49)
Maternal practice regarding			
CGM	8.47 ± 0.63	7.57 ± 0.57	0.90 (0.59–1.21)
CDM	8.33 ± 1.12	7.43 ± 0.73	0.90 (0.41–1.39)
IYCF	8.63 ± 0.85	7.53 ± 0.68	1.10 (0.70–1.50)
Children's outcome			
BW	10.46 ± 2.08	9.78 ± 1.65	0.68 ( <mark>–</mark> 0.29–1.65)
BH	78.66 ± 9.96	78.50 ± 8.35	0.16 ( <mark>–</mark> 4.59–4.91)
CDS	0.20 ± 0.41	$0.90 \pm 0.40$	<mark>-</mark> 0.7 ( <mark>-</mark> 0.91-( <mark>-</mark> 0.49)) *

\* *p*-value < 0.05

3.4. Association between Time and Research Outcome among Different Intervention Groups

The analysis, in Table 3, reveals that the INP had a significant influence on maternal outcomes, including CGM, CDM, and IYCF, at follow-ups 1 and 2 and that mother practice related to CGM, as measured by the overall practice score, was superior at follow-ups 1 and 2 compared to before the intervention. The control group demonstrated significant changes at follow-up 1 only in total knowledge, CDM, and total practice scores but at follow-up 2 only in total practice relative to before the intervention. In general, during both follow-ups 1 and 2, all children's outcomes for each group intervention exhibited substantial favorable effects.

**Table 3.** Association between time and research outcome among different intervention groups.

** * 11	<b>D</b> ( )			Post-Test 1 vs. Pretest	Post-Test 2 vs. Pretest	
Variables	Pretest	Post-Test 1	Post-Test 2	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	Comment [M44]: Please check
Intervention group						there is no 1 and 2 explanation
Maternal knowledge						Comment [TS45R44]: I
CGM	$6.03 \pm 1.25$	$7.90\pm0.55$	$8.10\pm0.31$	1.87 (1.38–2.35) *	2.07 (1.61–2.53) *	removed
CDM	$6.73\pm0.83$	$8.23\pm0.43$	$9.07\pm0.58$	1.50 (1.16-1.84) *	2.33 (1.96–2.70) *	
IYCF	$6.93 \pm 0.64$	$8.83\pm0.70$	$9.03\pm0.61$	1.90 (1.58–2.22) *	2.10 (1.78–2.42) *	_
Maternal practice						
CGM	$6.47 \pm 1.04$	$8.03\pm0.61$	$8.47\pm0.63$	1.57 (1.07-2.06) *	2.00 (1.49–2.51) *	
CDM	$6.77\pm0.94$	$8.03\pm0.56$	$8.33 \pm 1.12$	1.27 (0.85-1.68)	1.57 (0.99–2.14)	
IYCF	$7.10\pm1.54$	$8.57\pm0.73$	$8.63 \pm 0.85$	1.47 (0.80-2.13)	1.53 (0.99–2.08)	
Children's outcome						
CW	$9.47 \pm 2.09$	$9.97 \pm 2.12$	$10.46\pm2.08$	0.50 (0.45-0.55) *	0.99 (0.91–0.99) *	
CH	$77.86 \pm 9.93$	$78.23 \pm 9.95$	$78.66 \pm 9.96$	0.37 (0.28-0.46) *	0.80 (0.59–0.92) *	
CDS	$1.20\pm0.48$	$0.63 \pm 0.49$	$0.20\pm0.41$	-0.58( <mark>-</mark> 0.75-(0.38)) *	<mark>-</mark> 1.00 ( <mark>-</mark> 1.24-( <mark>-</mark> 0.76))*	<b>Comment [M46]:</b> We revised
Control group						the hyphen (-) into a minus sign
Maternal knowledge						("–" U+2212). Please confirm.
CGM	$5.80 \pm 1.40$	$6.13 \pm 1.48$	$6.53 \pm 0.97$	0.33 ( <mark>-</mark> 0.32-0.99)	0.73 (0.08–1.39)	Comment [TS47R46]: Okay
CDM	$6.60\pm0.72$	$7.17\pm0.75$	$7.20\pm0.61$	0.57 (0.17–0.97)	0.60 (0.21–0.99) *	thank you

IYCF	670+109	$7.73 \pm 0.87$	7 83 + 0 53	1 03 (0 47–1 60)	1 13 (0 65–1 62)
Maternal practice	000 2 100		7100 2 0100	100 (011/ 1100)	
CGM	$6.37\pm0.67$	$7.37 \pm 0.72$	$7.57 \pm 0.57$	1.00 (0.62-1.38)	1.20 (0.84–1.56)
CDM	$6.67 \pm 0.76$	$7.13 \pm 0.86$	$7.43 \pm 0.73$	0.47 (0.03-0.90) *	0.77 (0.34–1.19)
IYCF	$6.87\pm0.97$	$7.50 \pm 1.28$	$7.53\pm0.68$	0.63 (0.11-1.16)	0.67 (0.28–1.05)
Children's outcome					
CW (kg)	$9.47 \pm 1.68$	$9.62 \pm 1.65$	$9.78 \pm 1.65$	0.15 (0.10-0.20) *	0.31 (0.24–0.38) *
CH (cm)	$78.09 \pm 8.37$	$78.26 \pm 8.36$	$78.50 \pm 8.35$	0.17 (0.14-0.19) *	0.41 (0.13–0.68) *
CDS	$1.30\pm0.59$	$1.07 \pm 0.52$	$0.90\pm0.40$	-0.23(-0.39-(0.07)) *	-0.40 (-0.61-(-0.19)) *
	* p	-value < 0.05.			

## 3.5. Evaluation of the Intervention on Research Outcomes Based on General Estimating Equation Analysis

In Table 4 below, after full adjustment, the analysis showed a significant interaction between intervention and time for all research outcomes by group intervention. The INP through home visit had a consistently significant positive effect after follow-ups 1 and 2 on all research outcomes, especially children's body weight, height, and developmental score. The INP through home visit group had higher weight and height gain on follow-up 2 compared with the control group. The INP through home visit group also had a better developmental score change on follow-up 2 compared with the control group. The detailed results are shown in Table 4.

**Table 4.** Evaluation of the interaction effect between intervention and time on different research outcomes using adjusted DID based on GEE model.

	Intervention		
Variables	Follow-Up 2 vs.	Follow-Up 1 vs.	
	Baseline	Baseline	
Knowledge regarding CGM <sup>1</sup>	1.33 (0.64–2.03) *	1.53 (0.92–2 Commer	nt [M48]: Please check
Knowledge regarding CDM <sup>1</sup>	1.73 (1.29-2.16) *	0.93 (0.49–1 there is r	o * explanation
Knowledge regarding IYCF <sup>1</sup>	0.97 (0.48-1.46) *	0.87 (0.34–1	
Practice regarding CGM <sup>1</sup>	0.80 (0.31-1.29) *	0.57 (0.09–1 Commer	it [1549K48]: we add
Practice regarding CDM <sup>1</sup>	0.80 (0.23-1.37) *	0.80 (0.24–1, <sup>*</sup> p<0.05, 1	thank you
Practice regarding IYCF <sup>1</sup>	0.87 (0.18-1.55) *	0.83 (0.18–1.49) *	
Children's body weight <sup>2</sup>	0.68 (0.59-0.77) *	0.35 (0.29-0.41) *	
Children's body height <sup>2</sup>	0.40 (0.01-0.79) *	0.21 ( <mark>-</mark> 0.07-0.48)	
Children's developmental score <sup>2</sup>	<mark>-</mark> 0.60 ( <mark>-</mark> 0.87-( <mark>-</mark> 0.32)) *	<mark>-</mark> 0.33 ( <mark>-</mark> 0.57-( <mark>-</mark> 0.10)) *	

\*p<0.05

<sup>a</sup> Adjusted difference in differences (DID) coefficients using GEE. <sup>1</sup> Adjusted with mother's age, mother's educational level, mother's occupation, father's educational level, father's occupation, and family status; <sup>2</sup> adjusted with mother's age, mother's educational level, mother's occupation, father's educational level, father's occupation, family status, child's age, and child's gender.

## 4. Discussion

According to the findings of this study, the two groups shared all of the observed features, confirming that the impact was a result of the intervention administered. The impact of the INP's 4-week and 8-week interventions on maternal knowledge and practice in CGM, CDM, and IYCF through home visits is encouraging. Moreover, the children's outcome indicator as a result of the INP four and eight weeks later in both the intervention and control groups revealed a beneficial effect. However, the intervention group showed better improvement in all evaluated outcomes than the control group. After performing the DID analysis and adjusting for numerous factors by comparing the intervention group to the control group, this conclusion revealed a stable improvement in all intervention group outcomes.

It can be explained that the intervention group had greater maternal and children's outcome outcomes than the control group because (1) home visits give chances for more extensive interaction between cadres and mothers of toddlers [11] and can bridge the gap between ignorance and mistakes in the practice of maternal parenting on the health of toddlers [12,13]; (2) the intervention-supplied knowledge and practice from cadres to mothers is a positive mediator [9,14]; (3) the length of each home visit in this study was 45-60 min longer than the control (15–30 min); (4) the uniformity of home visits was assured through supervision; and (5) IYCF teaching paired with food ingredients enabled parents to instantly implement proper feeding practices for their children [14]. At follow-ups 1 and 2, the INP intervention significantly increased BW compared to the control group. This is related to the effect size of the intervention on maternal outcomes compared to the control group. It was stated in previous studies that maternal knowledge and dietary practices in accordance with recommendations are closely related to children's health [15].

This result is similar with the research conducted by Paramasanti and Sulistyawati in Yogyakarta, Indonesia [16]; Saleem et al. in periurban Pakistan [17]; and Zaman et al. in Lahore, Pakistan [18]. In contrast to the control group, the impact of INP on BH children was substantial at follow-up 2. A prospective investigation in Uganda revealed there was no correlation between CF and HAZ, and this study highlighted the necessity for longer surveillance [19]. As mentioned in earlier research [20–22], CF dietary variety can encourage linear development in stunted children, as shown in this study.

Similarly proven by previous research in India [23], diet is a crucial element in the development of toddlers [24,25]. Cadres presented mothers with instances of developmental stimulation during home visits, and mothers implemented it directly under the supervision of cadres. The impact of INP was statistically significant and bigger than that of the control group because, at that time, toddlers' mothers assessed their babies' developmental scores while continually stimulating their developmental progress until follow-ups 1 and 2. Previous research in India [23] and Peru [26] indicated that toddlers' developmental scores were enhanced by developmental stimulation. Moreover, the intervention group received CF in conjunction with developmental stimulation, allowing for a greater probability of improving CDS than the control group, which received simply CF and a reminder to read MCH books [25].

This study possesses several strengths. First, educational intervention by cadres raised mothers' understanding and behavior change communication through home visits on CGM, CDM, and IYCF and also allowed determining their impacts on both maternal and children's outcomes (BW, BH, SDC). In order to characterize the change in effects following the intervention and follow-up, weekly repeated measures were performed. The second benefit is that the integrative

package allows for numerous impacts rather than a single intervention. Thirdly, this strategy also includes CF so that mothers can directly practice correct feeding. According to a 2007 Lancet study, an integrated package consisting of nutritional intervention, developmental stimulation, and health is highly suggested since it offers more advantages to counteract the impacts of early malnutrition [26]. Fourth, this intervention is offered to infants and toddlers in order to maximize the potential leverage to overcome the impacts of stunting in later life [27,28]. Early infancy is a crucial time for neuroplasticity to begin, which is connected to the brain's responsiveness to stimuli [29]. Moreover, brain ability is greatly impacted by several factors, such as availability of food, nutritional state, and relationship between mother and child [12,16,24,28], which this intervention provides.

However, our study has a number of limitations, including the fact that, despite our 8-week follow-up, the evaluation of long-term monitoring after 8 weeks is crucial. Both of these studies are community-based; therefore, the effectiveness of stunting prevention is highly dependent on the knowledge and consistency of the subjects, both the cadres and the mothers, in providing proper child care. The three IYCF practices are largely reliant on food availability, food access, and purchasing power. In this study, we supplied CF so women were able to practice proper child feeding. The practical and policy implications of this study's findings enable the continuation of INP through home visits through multi-stakeholder and multi-sectoral collaboration. The last limitation on the generalizability of this study is that all participants are Javanese.

#### 5. Conclusions

With the exception of CH, the integrated nutrition package and behavior treatments in this study of stunted children improved mothers' knowledge and practice of CGM, CDM, and IYCF as well as children's outcomes related to BW and mending SDC at follow-up periods 1 and 2. However, additional studies are required to analyze the performance of intervention nutrition packages and different combinations of interventions to determine which are the most successful and costeffective. Such future study would aid in the creation and development of the most effective and efficient methods for achieving Indonesia's current nutrition goals for children.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, T.S. and B.S.W.; methodology, T.S., N.P., and B.S.W.; software, B.S.W.; data curation S.I., A.K.R., and J.R.; investigation, T.S., N.P., and J.R.; writing—review and editing, T.S. and B.S.W. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by Asian Development Bank, grant number 042/MADEP-ADB/KONTRAK/III/2022.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Politeknik Kesehatan Kemenkes Yogyakarta No. e-KEPK/POLKESYO/0223/II/2022 date 23 February 2022.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

**Data Availability Statement:** All data and models of study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

**Comment [M50]:** incorrect ref order, 27 detected after 25. You jumped the numbers in between. Acknowledgments: We would like to thank the funders, research consultants, PT-MADEP, ministry of health Republic Indonesia, Director of Poltekkes Kemenkes Yogyakarta, the head of the Bantul district office, the head of the Bantul district health center, nutritionists, midwives, cadres, maternal children, and all who have contributed to this research.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- National Development Planning Agency. The National Medium-Term Plan (RPJMN) for 2022–2024; Bappenas: Jakarta, Indonesia, 2020.
- Ministry of Health Republic Indonesia. Book of SSGI Study Results at the National, Provincial and Regency/City Levels in 2021; Ministry of Health Republic Indonesia; Jakarta, Indonesia, 2021.
- De Onis, M.; Borghi, E.; Arimond, M.; Webb, P.; Croft, T.; Saha, K.; De-Regil, L.M.; Thuita, F.; Heidkamp, R.; Krasevec, J.; et al. Prevalence thresholds for wasting, overweight and stunting in children under 5 years. *Public Health Nutr.* 2019, 22, 175–179. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980018002434.
- 4. Yogyakarta City Health Office. Yogyakarta City Health Profile. 2021. Available online: https://kesehatan.jogjakota.go.id/uploads/dokumen/profil\_dinkes\_2021\_data\_2020.pdf (accessed on 12 March 2022).
- 5. Yogyakarta Special Region Provincial Health Office. *Health Profile of the Special Region of Yogyakarta in 2021;* Yogyakarta Special Region Provincial Health Office: Yogyakarta, Indonesia, 2021.
- BPS—Statistics of Bantul Regency Bantul Regency in Figures. 2021. Available online: https://bantulkab.bps.go.id/publication/2021/02/26/eab58a614ceaf9cc8b56a317/kabupaten-bantul-dalamangka-2021.html (accessed on 5 March 2022).
- BPS—Statistics of Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta Province Human Development Index (HDI) D.I. Yogyakarta. 2021. Available online: https://yogyakarta.bps.go.id/pressrelease/2021/12/01/1170/indekspembangunan-manusia--ipm--d-i--yogyakarta-tahun-2021.html#:~:text=Indeks Pembangunan Manusia (IPM) tahun,poin) dibandingkan capaian tahun 2020 (accessed on 10 March 2022).
- Women Empowerment Service Child Protection and Population Control DIY. The Marriage Age Maturity/Village Regulation on Child Marriage Prevention. Available online: https://dp3ap2.jogjaprov.go.id/berita/detail/579-pendewasaan-usia-perkawinan-pencegahan-perkawinananak-melalui-peraturan-desa (accessed on 2 April 2022).
- Elisaria, E.; Mrema, J.; Bogale, T.; Segafredo, G.; Festo, C. Effectiveness of integrated nutrition interventions on childhood stunting: a quasi-experimental evaluation design. *BMC Nutr.* 2021, 7, 17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40795-021-00421-7.
- 10. President Republic Indonesia. Presidential Regulation no 72 Year 2021 Issue Stunting Reduction Acceleration. 2021. Available online: https://peraturan.bpk.go.id/Home/Details/174964/perpres-no-72-tahun-2021 (accessed on 1 February 2022).
- Ghodsi, D.; Omidvar, N.; Nikooyeh, B.; Roustaee, R.; Shakibazadeh, E.; Al-Jawaldeh, A. Effectiveness of community nutrition-specific interventions on improving malnutrition of children under 5 years of age in the eastern mediterranean region: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2021, 18, 7844. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18157844.
- Yousafzai, A.K.; Obradović, J.; Rasheed, M.A.; Rizvi, A.; Portilla, X.A.; Tirado-Strayer, N.; Siyal, S.; Memon, U. Effects of responsive stimulation and nutrition interventions on children's development and growth at age 4 years in a disadvantaged population in Pakistan: a longitudinal follow-up of a cluster-randomised factorial effectiveness trial. *Lancet Glob. Health* 2016, 4, e548–e558. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(16)30100-0.
- Yousafzai, A.K.; Rasheed, M.A.; Rizvi, A.; Armstrong, R.; Bhutta, Z.A. Effect of integrated responsive stimulation and nutrition interventions in the Lady Health Worker programme in Pakistan on child development, growth, and health outcomes: A cluster-randomised factorial effectiveness trial. *Lancet* 2014, 384, 1282–1293. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60455-4.
- Zhang, Y.; Wu, Q.; Wang, W.; Van Velthoven, M.H.; Chang, S.; Han, H.; Xing, M.; Chen, L.; Scherpbier, R.W. Effectiveness of complementary food supplements and dietary counselling on anaemia and stunting in children aged 6–23 months in poor areas of Qinghai Province, China: A controlled interventional study. *BMJ Open* 2016, 6, e011234. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-011234.
- 15. Debela, B.L.; Demmler, K.M.; Rischke, R.; Qaim, M. Maternal nutrition knowledge and child nutritional outcomes in urban Kenya. *Appetite* **2017**, *116*, 518–526. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2017.05.042.
- 16. Paramashanti, B.; Sulistyawati, S. Effect of integration of nutrition intervention and development stimulation on weight gain and development of wasted children. J. Gizi Klin. Indones. 2018, 15, 16–21. https://doi.org/10.22146/ijcn.31259.

**Comment [M51]:** Newly added information. Please confirm.

**Comment [TS52R51]:** Thank you

**Comment [M53]:** Please confirm if the name of book is correct.

**Comment [M54]:** Newly added information. Please confirm.

**Comment [TS55R54]:** Thank you, you are right

**Comment [M56]:** Please provide the correct URL.

- Saleemi, M.A.; Ashraf, R.N.; Mellander, L.; Zaman, S. Determinants of stunting at 6, 12, 24 and 60 months and postnatal linear growth in Pakistani children. *Acta Paediatr.* 2001, 90, 1304–1308. https://doi.org/10.1080/080352501317130371.
- Zaman, S.; Ashraf, R.N.; Martines, J. Training in complementary feeding counselling of healthcare workers and its influence on maternal behaviours and child growth: A cluster-randomized controlled trial in Lahore, Pakistan. J. Health Popul. Nutr. 2008, 26, 210–222.
- Malembaka, E.B.; Tumwine, J.K.; Ndeezi, G.; Engebretsen, I.M.S.; Tylleskär, T.; Wamani, H.; Sommerfelt, H.; Nankabirwa, V. Effects of complementary feeding on attained height among lower primary school-aged children in Eastern Uganda: A nested prospective cohort study. *PLoS ONE* 2019, 14, e0211411. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211411.
- 20. Aguayo, V.M. Complementary feeding practices for infants and young children in South Asia. A review of evidence for action post-2015. *Matern. Child Nutr.* **2017**, *13*, e12439. https://doi.org/10.1111/mcn.12439.
- Onyango, A.W.; Borghi, E.; de Onis, M.; Casanovas, M.; Garza, C. Complementary feeding and attained linear growth among 6–23-month-old children. *Public Health Nutr.* 2014, 17, 1975–1983. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980013002401.
- Ţincu, I.F.; Păcurar, D.; Ţincu, R.C.; Becheanu, C. Influence of protein intake during complementary feeding on body size and IGF-I levels in twelve-month-old infants. *Balkan Med. J.* 2020, 37, 54–55. https://doi.org/10.4274/balkanmedj.galenos.2019.2019.6.5.
- 23. Larson, L.M.; Martorell, R.; Bauer, P.J. A path analysis of nutrition, stimulation, and child development among young children in Bihar, India. *Child Dev.* **2018**, *89*, 1871–1886. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.13057.
- 24. Hurley, K.M.; Yousafzai, A.K.; Lopez-Boo, F. Early child development and nutrition: A review of the benefits and challenges of implementing integrated interventions. *Adv. Nutr.* **2016**, *7*, 357–363. https://doi.org/10.3945/an.115.010363.
- Grantham-McGregor, S.M.; Fernald, L.C.H.; Kagawa, R.M.C.; Walker, S. Effects of integrated child development and nutrition interventions on child development and nutritional status. *Ann. N. Y. Acad Sci.* 2014, 1309, 11–32. https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.12284.
- Hartinger, S.M.; Lanata, C.F.; Hattendorf, J.; Wolf, J.; Gil, A.I.; Obando, M.O.; Noblega, M.; Verastegui, H.; Mäusezahl, D. Impact of a child stimulation intervention on early child development in rural Peru: A cluster randomised trial using a reciprocal control design. *J. Epidemiol. Community Health* 2017, 71, 217–224. https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2015-206536.
- Bundy, D.A.P.; de Silva, N.; Horton, S.; Patton, G.C.; Schultz, L.; Jamison, D.T.; Abubakara, A.; Ahuja, A.; Alderman, H.; Allen, N.; et al. Investment in child and adolescent health and development: key messages from Disease Control Priorities, 3rd Edition The Lancet. *Lancet* 2018, 391, 687–699. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32417-0.
- Engle, P.L.; Black, M.M.; Behrman, J.R.; Mello, M.C. de; Gertler, P.J.; Kapiriri, L.; Martorell, R.; Young, M.E. Strategies to avoid the loss of developmental potential in more than 200 million children in the developing world. *Lancet* 2007, 369, 229–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60112-3.
- 29. Jennifer, H.; Lee, R.S. Improving Equity in Health by Addressing Social Determinants; WHO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2011.